

lh

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

Executive Registry
82-2745/1

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM: Acting Director, Office of External Affairs
7D43 Hqs

EXTENSION

NO. EA 82-0420/2

DATE 2 APR 1982

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Executive Director *nr*

05 APR 1982

J

2.

3. DDCI

flb

4.

5.

CEA

6.

Wap

7.

7 APR 1982

8.

LHD

9.

Jerry

10.

Bob K.

K

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

to 8: pls take action to provide DDCI support requested in his comment -

CA
8 APR 1982

SECRET

Executive Registry
82-2745/1

Handwritten: [unclear] COPY

EA 82-0420/2
2 April 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
FROM: Acting Director, Office of External Affairs
SUBJECT: Subjects to be Covered at the Narcotics Briefing of the House Foreign Affairs Committee (HFAC), 22 April 1982

1. Based on the letter from Chairman Clement J. Zablocki (D., WI) (attached) and discussions with the staff, we believe that the Committee would like you to cover the following subjects at the HFAC briefing on illicit narcotics on 22 April:

- a) An overview of the Agency role in the illicit narcotics effort and our relationship with the other agencies involved in drug enforcement;
- b) A summary of how the Central Intelligence Agency collects, analyzes, uses, and disseminates intelligence on illicit narcotics;
- c) An explanation of the priorities assigned to collecting information on illicit narcotics in various places in the world. Since two HFAC staffers recently visited and were impressed with our efforts [redacted] we might use our activities there as an illustration (cable attached). (While [redacted] the staff asked for the total man-years that CIA has directed against illicit narcotics worldwide over the last 10 years.);

25X1
25X1

[Large redacted box]

25X1

e) There may be other topics such as crop analysis programs to help other countries do their own work, which you may wish to raise. The topics above are the major ones of interest to the Committee;

25X1

DOWNGRADED TO UNCLASSIFIED
WHEN SEPARATED FROM ATTACHMENTS


[Redacted box]

SECRET

SECRET

f) Because the Committee will have heard from the top officials of the other agencies, they will be looking for the same perspective from CIA. In spite of your busy schedule, it appears that it would be best if you could do this briefing. The staff has emphasized that the Chairman would like you to appear.

2. If the above topics meet with your approval, we will contact the appropriate offices and get to work on the prepared statement for you.



25X1

Acting Director, Office of External Affairs

Attachments

Approve *T* Disapprove

6 APR 1992

_____ Date _____
DDCI

Sir,

This doesn't say so, but there are of course the subject the DDI and the DDO feel can be covered in more detail -



STAT

+ need input from ICS on summaries of collection by all Intel agencies, not just CIA priorities & analysis activities

SECRET

Page Denied

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

CLEMENT J. ZABLOCKI, WIS., CHAIRMAN

L. H. FOUNTAIN, N.C.
 DANTE B. FASCELL, FLA.
 BENJAMIN S. ROSENTHAL, N.Y.
 LEE H. HAMILTON, IND.
 JONATHAN B. BINGHAM, N.Y.
 GUS YATRON, PA.
 STEPHEN J. SOLARZ, N.Y.
 DON BONKER, WASH.
 GERRY E. STUDDS, MASS.
 ANDY IRELAND, FLA.
 DAN MICA, FLA.
 MICHAEL D. BARNES, MD.
 HOWARD WOLPE, MICH.
 GEO. W. CROCKETT, JR., MICH.
 BOB SHAMANSKY, OHIO
 SAM GEJDENSON, CONN.
 MERVYN M. DYMALLY, CALIF.
 DENNIS E. ECKART, OHIO
 TOM LANTOS, CALIF.
 DAVID R. BOWEN, MISS.

WILLIAM S. BROOMFIELD, MICH.
 EDWARD J. DERWINSKI, ILL.
 PAUL FINDLEY, ILL.
 LARRY WINN, JR., KANS.
 BENJAMIN A. GILMAN, N.Y.
 ROBERT J. LAGOMARSINO, CALIF.
 WILLIAM F. GOODLING, PA.
 JOEL PRITCHARD, WASH.
 MILLICENT FENWICK, N.J.
 ROBERT K. DORNAN, CALIF.
 JIM LEACH, IOWA
 ARLEN ERDAHL, MINN.
 TOBY ROTH, WIS.
 OLYMPIA J. SNOWE, MAINE
 JOHN LE BOUTILLIER, N.Y.
 HENRY J. HYDE, ILL.

JOHN J. BRADY, JR.
 CHIEF OF STAFF

Congress of the United States
 Committee on Foreign Affairs

House of Representatives
 Washington, D.C. 20515

March 19, 1982

Admiral Bobby R. Inman
 Deputy Director
 Central Intelligence Agency
 Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Admiral:

You are invited to testify at a closed briefing of the Committee on Foreign Affairs on the Agency's role in U.S. international narcotics control policy. The briefing is scheduled for 3:00 PM, April 22, 1982 in Room 2172 of the Rayburn House Office Building. This briefing is a component of a series of hearings conducted by the Committee to examine the policies, procedures and activities of the U.S. Government in its efforts to stem the flow of illicit narcotics into this country.

In general, the briefing should provide the Committee with information on current activities of the Agency involving international narcotics control and the level of priority attached to these activities. The Committee is interested in exploring ways to improve intelligence concerning field production estimates. The Committee also welcomes any other recommendations and comments you may wish to offer.

Because of the classified nature of the material, attendance at the briefing will be confined to Members, Committee staff and representatives of INM, DEA and Customs who hold the requisite clearances. This procedure has been discussed with your Congressional Liaison office.

If additional information or discussion is required, your staff should contact Jim Schollaert (225-2752) or Ed Palmer (225-0842) of the Committee staff.

I look forward to your participation in an informative and productive briefing.

With best wishes, I remain

Sincerely yours,

Clement J. Zablocki

Chairman

CJZ:epm

Committee on Foreign Affairs

PURPOSE

This study will examine why U.S. efforts to interdict the increasing flow of drugs into the U.S. are having little success, and what the Congress and the rest of the U.S. Government can do about it.

SCOPE

Following would be the principal topics and questions to be examined by the study.

Organization. Who is doing what with regard to our international narcotics control policy? Is there a mechanism to ensure sufficient high level attention within the White House, the State Department and our Embassies abroad? Have responsibilities been handed out in a clear and logical fashion to the appropriate agency or official, with sufficient resources and know-how to get the job done? Are the agencies cooperating fully and sharing all necessary information and resources with one another, in particular with regard to intelligence gathering?

Policy. Does the United States have a clear and coherent foreign policy strategy on international narcotics control? What has the Reagan Administration enunciated on this subject thus far? Is the keystone of our policy still crop eradication at the source? To what extent if any is it necessary to couple crop eradication with income substitution programs? Should the funds for such programs be channeled through AID or some other entity? What factors impair U.S. credibility with foreign governments concerning eradication such as the Percy Amendment, failure to eradicate domestically, etc? Are the complicated circumstances in all source countries such that cost-effective eradication efforts are not possible? Where is crop eradication feasible, if anywhere, and why? Does our policy give a different priority to heroin, cocaine, marijuana, licit drugs, chemicals? Should the Congress give any new policy directives to the executive branch on narcotics matters?

Priority and Resources. What is the real priority of international narcotics control in the White House, the State Department and our embassies abroad? Is it adequate to ensure the reasonable success of our policy and strategy? How receptive are the regional bureaus and the Secretary's Office at State to narcotics considerations? How receptive are U.S. Ambassadors and country teams? Is there any way to improve the situation? Is the U.S. international narcotics control program adequately funded? Is the U.S. throwing money at a problem and not getting adequate results? What are adequate results? Is the international side of narcotics control getting its fair share of all narcotics control funds?